UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,229	09/29/2003	Takako Fujii	B-5248 621294-8	9863
36716 LADAS & PAR	7590 02/27/200 <b>RRY</b>	EXAMINER		
	RE BOULEVARD, SU	STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90036-5679			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/675,229	FUJII ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jacqueline F. Stephens	3761		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
<ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/13</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the</li></ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 17-23 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 17-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa	ite		

Application/Control Number: 10/675,229

Art Unit: 3761

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/13/08 have been fully considered and they are partially persuasive. With respect to claims 1, 3-7, and 17, Applicant repeats the argument that the tissue layers 24 and 36 of Wehrmeyer are not absorbent material. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Tissue layers used in absorbent articles are typically constructed of cellulosic materials, which are absorbent materials. Applicant argues tissue layers 24 and 26 form an envelope around absorbent 22 unlike the claimed upper and lower, which are not connected and are unable to form an envelope. Although tissue layers 24 and 26 form an envelope, they still meet the limitation of an upper and lower layer. The claims do not require the layers to be separate. Applicant also repeats the argument that Wehrmeyer does not show high and low density areas in the tissue layers. Wehrmeyer teaches high and low density regions in the pad 12 including batt 22 and tissues 24 and 26 (col. 5, lines 23-31). Claim 1 requires the lower layer have a higher density than that of the upper layer. At least a portion of the lower layer has a higher density than at least a portion of the lower density portions of the upper layer.

With respect to claim 18, Applicant argues Wehrmeyer's Figure 3 shows that layers 24 and 26 are of the same width, and therefore, Wehrmeyer does not teach the width of the lower layer is greater than the width of the upper layer. The Examiner has acknowledged that Wehrmeyer is deficient in this teaching and has provided a secondary reference, Nystrand for this teaching. Applicant does not comment on the

Application/Control Number: 10/675,229 Page 3

Art Unit: 3761

teaching of the combination of Wehrmeyer/Nystrand with regard to this limitation.

Applicant does argue, however, that Wehrmeyer/Nystrand does not teach the lower layer has a density B and the remaining portion of the lower layer has a density C.

However, Wehrmeyr teaches the densification pattern creating high and low density areas are on the batt 22 as well as envelope tissues 24 and 26 (col. 15, lines 23-32).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-8, 11, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wehrmeyer et al. USPN 3908659 in view of Nystrand et al. USPN 3667468. Wehrmeyer teaches a body fluid absorbent diaper 10 (col. 3, lines 58-61) comprising absorbent pad 12/22 sandwiched between moisture pervious top sheet 14 and impervious barrier 16 (col. 4, lines 4-15), wherein the absorbent pad includes upper tissue layer 24 and lower tissue layer 26/46 (col. 5, lines 3-6, Figures 2-3); wherein the lower layer 26 has a higher density than that of the upper layer by forming indented recesses in the lower layer (col. 5, lines 23-31; col. 6, lines 55-66, Figure 2). The recesses have an emboss percentage of 12-50% (col. 5, lines 15-23) for a desired balance of softness and absorbency (col. 5, lines 20-22). Wehrmyer inherently has recess angle of inclination, upper layer density, and spacing distance values in the

claimed ranges since where the claimed and prior art products are identical or substantially identical processes, a prima facie case of either anticipation or obviousness as been established. In re Best, 562 F.2d 252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). Wehrmeyer further discloses recesses are formed in the absorbent 22 (Figures 2-3).

Wehrmeyer does not teach the lower layer width is greater than the upper layer width. Nystrand teaches an absorbent having upper and lower layers where the lower layer has a width larger than the upper layer forming a c-fold configuration. Nystrand teaches this configuration is beneficial because it orients the body fluid dispersion path along the length of the napkin and discourages over-saturation in one central area. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wehrmeyer to have a lower layer width larger than the upper layer width. Doing so would provide a construction that inhibits lateral leakage (Nystrand col. 1, line 50 through col, 2, line 10).

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wehrmeyer et al. (US 3,908,659) in view of Fitzgerald (US 4,435,178). Wehrmeyer does not expressly disclose the recesses are on the body side of the lower layer. Fitzgerald discloses absorbent sanitary napkin 10 having an absorbent core 12 disposed between body fluid permeable body-side top sheet 34 (column 3, lines 14-18) and water impervious material 26 which overlies back member 24 (column 3, lines 9-11)

Application/Control Number: 10/675,229

Art Unit: 3761

and is laid on top of the core (column 4,lines 4-6); wherein the absorbent core has upper layer 14 coextensive with lower layer 16 and having recesses 18 formed on the body-facing side to accommodate gushes of fluid and improve absorption of bodily fluids (column 3, lines 24-28, figure 1), compressed grooves/recesses 19 formed on the garment-facing side (column 2, lines 40-68, figure 1), wherein squeeze-out portions are formed on both sides of lower layer 16 in areas outside recesses 18 (figure 1), wherein lower layer 16 inherently has higher density than upper layer 14 and a higher density than the squeeze-out portions lying outside recesses since the lower layer contains recesses 18, 19. Fitzgerald further discloses the recesses and compressed portions are desirable to easily receive and prevent gushes of waste fluid from gushing through the pad, and thus enhancing body fluid distribution (column 2, lines 11-16). One would be motivated to modify the honeycomb recesses with surface area percentages of Wehrmeyer with the body side lower layer recesses of Fitzgerald for improved fluid absorbency since the references both disclose multi-layered recessed absorbent cores for use in personal wearing articles. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the absorbent layer, thus providing a recessed body side lower layer.

Page 5

4. Claims 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wehrmeyer et al. USPN 3908659 in view of Nystrand et al. USPN 3667468 as applied to claims 1 and 18 above, and further in view of Hasse et al. USPN 4685915. Wehrmeyer/Nystrand teach the present invention substantially as claimed. However,

Wehrmeyer/Nystrand do not teach an absorbent having a uniform density with a higher density lower layer and a lower density flanking regions in the lower layer, all of the densities being uniform. Hasse teaches a profiled absorbent core where the absorbent has uniform densities and basis weights, but the density is higher in the central region of the core (col. 1, lines 14-17; col. 2, lines 16-20). xxx teaches the thinner flanking regions provide for a less bulky, more conformable absorbent article, that also folds easier for packaging (col. 2, lines 26-44). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Wehrmeyer/Nystrand to provide each region with a uniform density for the benefits taught in Hasse.

Page 6

## Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3761

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jacqueline F Stephens/

Application/Control Number: 10/675,229

Page 8

Art Unit: 3761

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3761